



## BOOK REVIEW

<b>Author(s) / editor(s)</b>	
<b>Book title</b>	

<b>Reviewer</b> (enter all academic titles)	
<b>Department</b>	
<b>E-mail address</b>	

### Points allocation chart:

<b>Evaluated area</b>	<b>Points</b>
1. Contemporaneity of the contents	
2. Original scientific contribution of the author (authors)	
3. Synthesis of own and adopted knowledge	
4. Self-citation	
5. External citation	
6. Logical organization – continuity of chapters	
7. Graphic design	
8. Quality of images and graphs	
9. Justification and information level of images	
10. Justification and information level of charts	
11. Use of scholarly language	
12. Use of field-specific terminology	
<b>Points total</b>	

Reviewers assume part of the responsibility for the quality of the future publication through their review. 1 to 10 points are allocated to assessed areas, with 1 being the lowest and 10 the highest rating.

**Verbal assessment of the document:**

**Reviewer's recommendation:**

Publish the submitted manuscript (without changes)	<input type="checkbox"/>
Publish after deficiencies are eliminated	<input type="checkbox"/>
Publish after revision and re-evaluation	<input type="checkbox"/>
Not suitable for publication	<input type="checkbox"/>

In ..... date:.....

Reviewer's signature:.....